

The three-body problem in dimension one: From short-range to contact interactions

Giulia Basti,^{1,a)} Claudio Cacciapuoti,^{2,b)} Domenico Finco,^{3,c)}
and Alessandro Teta^{4,d)}

¹*Institut für Mathematik, Universität Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zürich, Switzerland*

²*DiSAT, Sezione di Matematica, Università dell'Insubria, Via Valleggio 11, 22100 Como, Italy*

³*Facoltà di Ingegneria, Università Telematica Internazionale Uninettuno, Corso Vittorio Emanuele II 39, 00186 Roma, Italy*

⁴*Dipartimento di Matematica G. Castelnuovo, Sapienza Università di Roma, Piazzale Aldo Moro, 5, 00185 Roma, Italy*

(Received 20 March 2018; accepted 1 July 2018; published online 23 July 2018)

We consider a Hamiltonian describing three quantum particles in dimension one interacting through two-body short-range potentials. We prove that, as a suitable scale parameter in the potential terms goes to zero, such a Hamiltonian converges to one with zero-range (also called delta or point) interactions. The convergence is understood in the norm resolvent sense. The two-body rescaled potentials are of the form $v_\sigma^\varepsilon(x_\sigma) = \varepsilon^{-1} v_\sigma(\varepsilon^{-1} x_\sigma)$, where $\sigma = 23, 12, 31$ is an index that runs over all the possible pairings of the three particles, x_σ is the relative coordinate between two particles, and ε is the scale parameter. The limiting Hamiltonian is the one formally obtained by replacing the potentials v_σ with $\alpha_\sigma \delta_\sigma$, where δ_σ is the Dirac delta-distribution centered on the coincidence hyperplane $x_\sigma = 0$ and $\alpha_\sigma = \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_\sigma dx_\sigma$. To prove the convergence of the resolvents, we make use of Faddeev's equations. *Published by AIP Publishing.* <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5030170>

I. INTRODUCTION

In a dilute quantum gas at a low temperature, the typical wavelength of the particles is usually much larger than the effective range of the two-body interaction. In this regime, the system exhibits a universal behavior, which means that the relevant observables do not depend on the details of the interaction but only on few low-energy parameters, like the scattering length. For the mathematical modeling of these systems, it is often convenient to introduce Hamiltonians where the two-body interaction is replaced by an idealized zero-range or δ interaction, i.e., an interaction that is non-trivial only when the coordinates x_i and x_j of two particles coincide. A Hamiltonian of this type is usually constructed as a self-adjoint operator in the appropriate Hilbert space using the theory of self-adjoint extensions. Roughly speaking, one obtains an operator acting as the free Hamiltonian except at the coincidence hyperplanes $\{x_i = x_j\}$, $i < j$, where a suitable boundary condition is satisfied. Many interesting mathematical results in this direction are available; see, e.g., Ref. 2 which addresses mostly the two-body problem and Ref. 10 for a review on the N -body problem, mainly in dimension three, and the references therein. See also Refs. 4 and 9 for applications of such Hamiltonians in dimension one. Here we only remark that these results strongly depend on the dimension d of the configuration space. In particular, for $d = 1$, the resulting Hamiltonian is a small perturbation in the sense of the quadratic forms of the free Hamiltonian; for $d = 2, 3$, the situation is different and the Hamiltonian is characterized by singular boundary conditions at the coincidence

^{a)}E-mail: giulia.basti@math.uzh.ch

^{b)}E-mail: claudio.cacciapuoti@uninsubria.it

^{c)}E-mail: d.finco@uninettunouniversity.net

^{d)}E-mail: teta@mat.uniroma1.it

hyperplanes; and, finally, for $d > 3$, a no-go theorem prevents the construction of a nontrivial zero-range interaction.

The construction of Hamiltonians with zero-range interactions based on the theory of self-adjoint extensions could appear rather abstract from the physical point of view. A more transparent and natural justification is obtained if one shows that these Hamiltonians are the limit of Hamiltonians with smooth, suitably rescaled two-body potentials. In the two-body case, reduced to a one-body problem in the relative coordinate, such a procedure is well established in all dimensions $d = 1, 2, 3$, see Ref. 2, while in the case of three or more particles only few results are available (Ref. 6).

In this paper, we approach the problem in the simpler case of three particles in dimension one. More precisely, we consider the three-body Hamiltonian

$$\mathbf{H}^{\varepsilon,3} := -\frac{1}{2m_1}\Delta_1 - \frac{1}{2m_2}\Delta_2 - \frac{1}{2m_3}\Delta_3 + \mathbf{V}_{12}^\varepsilon + \mathbf{V}_{23}^\varepsilon + \mathbf{V}_{31}^\varepsilon = \mathbf{H}_0^3 + \sum_{\sigma} \mathbf{V}_{\sigma}^\varepsilon,$$

where m_j is the mass of the j th particle and Δ_j denotes the one-dimensional Laplacian with respect to the coordinate x_j of the j th particle, and, for simplicity, we set the reduced Planck constant \hbar equal to one. We use Greek letters σ, γ, \dots to denote an index that runs over the pairs 12, 23, and 31. Moreover, $\mathbf{V}_{\sigma}^\varepsilon$, for $\varepsilon > 0$, describes the two-body, rescaled interaction between the particles in the pair σ , i.e., $\mathbf{V}_{23}^\varepsilon$ denotes the multiplication operator by the rescaled potential $v_{23}^\varepsilon(x_2 - x_3) = \varepsilon^{-1}v_{23}(\varepsilon^{-1}(x_2 - x_3))$ (and similarly for the other two pairs).

One reasonably expects that for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ the above Hamiltonian reduces to the Hamiltonian formally written as

$$\mathbf{H}^3 := -\frac{1}{2m_1}\Delta_1 - \frac{1}{2m_2}\Delta_2 - \frac{1}{2m_3}\Delta_3 + \alpha_{12}\delta_{12} + \alpha_{23}\delta_{23} + \alpha_{31}\delta_{31} = \mathbf{H}_0^3 + \sum_{\sigma} \alpha_{\sigma}\delta_{\sigma},$$

where δ_{23} denotes the Dirac-delta distribution supported on the coincidence plane $\{x_2 = x_3\}$ of the second and third particle (and similarly for δ_{12} and δ_{31}). Here δ_{σ} are understood as distributions on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and α_{σ} are some fixed real parameters, depending on v_{σ} , which measure the strength of the interaction.

In order to study the limiting procedure $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, it is convenient to work in the center of the mass reference frame so that the Hilbert space of the states of the system reduces to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. We denote by (x_{γ}, y_{ℓ}) a generic set of Jacobi coordinates, where γ is an index that can assume the value over any of the pairs 12, 23, and 31 and ℓ (more precisely, one should write ℓ_{γ}) is the companion index of γ , which means that if $\gamma = 23$ then $\ell = 1$ and so on. For example, we have

$$x_{23} = x_2 - x_3, \quad y_1 = \frac{m_2x_2 + m_3x_3}{m_2 + m_3} - x_1.$$

In the center of the mass reference frame and using the Jacobi coordinates, the approximating Hamiltonian has the form

$$\mathbf{H}^\varepsilon := -\frac{1}{2m_{\gamma}}\Delta_{x_{\gamma}} - \frac{1}{2\mu_{\ell}}\Delta_{y_{\ell}} + \sum_{\sigma} \mathbf{V}_{\sigma}^\varepsilon = \mathbf{H}_0 + \sum_{\sigma} \mathbf{V}_{\sigma}^\varepsilon, \tag{1.1}$$

where m_{γ} is the reduced mass between the particles of the pair γ and μ_{ℓ} is the reduced mass between the particle ℓ and the subsystem composed by the two particles of the pair γ , i.e.,

$$m_{23} = \frac{m_2m_3}{m_2 + m_3}, \quad \mu_1 = \frac{m_1(m_2 + m_3)}{M} \quad \text{with} \quad M = m_1 + m_2 + m_3, \tag{1.2}$$

and similarly for the other pairs. We shall assume conditions on the potentials v_{σ} such that \mathbf{H}^ε is a self-adjoint and lower bounded operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, with a lower bound independent of ε (see Sec. II). The limiting Hamiltonian has the formal expression

$$\mathbf{H} := \mathbf{H}_0 + \sum_{\sigma} \alpha_{\sigma}\delta_{\sigma}. \tag{1.3}$$

Its rigorous definition as a self-adjoint, lower bounded operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ will be given in Sec. III. Our main result is stated in the following:

Theorem 1. Assume that $v_\sigma \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1 + |x|)^s dx)$ for some $s > 0$ and for all $\sigma = 23, 31, 12$. Moreover set $\alpha_\sigma = \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_\sigma(x) dx$. Then \mathbf{H}^ε converges to \mathbf{H} in the norm resolvent sense for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Remark 1.1. From the proof of the theorem, it is clear that larger s gives faster convergence speed, up to $s = 1$. More precisely for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Im } z \neq 0$, one has that

$$\|(\mathbf{H}^\varepsilon - z)^{-1} - (\mathbf{H} - z)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))} \leq C\varepsilon^\delta \quad \forall \delta < \min\{1, s\}, \quad (1.4)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))}$ denotes the usual norm for bounded operators in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

The paper is organized as follows.

In Sec. II, we show that \mathbf{H}^ε is self-adjoint and lower bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, with a lower bound independent of ε . Moreover, we write the resolvent of \mathbf{H}^ε in the form of Faddeev's equations in momentum space.

In Sec. III, we construct the limiting Hamiltonian \mathbf{H} as a self-adjoint and lower bounded operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. An approach to the formal definition of \mathbf{H} (for the case of identical masses) is in Ref. 1; to make the paper as self-contained as possible, we discuss the definition of \mathbf{H} for the general case of different masses. We also find a suitable representation (in a form that resembles Faddeev's equations) for the resolvent in momentum space.

Section IV is devoted to the Proof of Theorem 1. In particular, we first prove the estimate (1.4) for $z = -\lambda$, with $\lambda > 0$ large enough, and then we extend the result to $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$.

We conclude the paper with two appendices. In Appendix A, we recall the derivation of Faddeev's equations and the definitions and basic properties of the operators introduced in Sec. II. In Appendix B, we collect several explicit formulae and useful identities, mostly concerning the operators introduced in Sec. III.

In what follows, C denotes a generic positive constant, independent of the parameters ε and λ .

II. THE APPROXIMATING PROBLEM

We denote by \mathcal{B}_0 the sesquilinear form

$$\mathcal{B}_0(\varphi, \psi) = \frac{1}{2m_\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \overline{\partial_{x_\gamma} \varphi} \partial_{x_\gamma} \psi \, dx_\gamma \, dy_\ell + \frac{1}{2\mu_\ell} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \overline{\partial_{y_\ell} \varphi} \partial_{y_\ell} \psi \, dx_\gamma \, dy_\ell, \quad D(\mathcal{B}_0) := H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^2). \quad (2.1)$$

The quadratic form associated with \mathbf{H}^ε is

$$\mathcal{B}^\varepsilon(\psi, \psi) = \mathcal{B}_0(\psi, \psi) + \sum_{\sigma} (\psi, \mathbf{V}_\sigma^\varepsilon \psi)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \quad D(\mathcal{B}^\varepsilon) := H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

We note the inequality

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy_\ell |\psi(x, y_\ell)|^2 \leq \eta \|\partial_x \psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 + \frac{1}{\eta} \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2, \quad (2.2)$$

which holds true for all $\eta > 0$. This is sometimes referred to as the Sobolev trace inequality and follows from the identity

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy_\ell |\psi(x, y_\ell)|^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy_\ell \int_{-\infty}^x dx_\gamma \partial_{x_\gamma} |\psi(x_\gamma, y_\ell)|^2,$$

together with the chain of inequalities

$$\partial_{x_\gamma} |\psi(x_\gamma, y_\ell)|^2 \leq 2 |\partial_{x_\gamma} \psi(x_\gamma, y_\ell)| |\psi(x_\gamma, y_\ell)| \leq \eta |\partial_{x_\gamma} \psi(x_\gamma, y_\ell)|^2 + |\psi(x_\gamma, y_\ell)|^2 / \eta.$$

By Eq. (2.2), and by the change of variables $x_\sigma / \varepsilon \rightarrow x_\sigma$, it immediately follows that

$$\left| (\psi, \mathbf{V}_\sigma^\varepsilon \psi)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \right| = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx_\sigma |v_\sigma(x_\sigma)| \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy_\ell |\psi(\varepsilon x_\sigma, y_\ell)|^2 \leq \|v_\sigma\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \left(\eta \|\partial_{x_\sigma} \psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 + \frac{1}{\eta} \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \right)$$

for all $\eta > 0$. Hence

$$\left| \sum_\sigma (\psi, \mathbf{V}_\sigma^\varepsilon \psi)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \right| \leq a \mathcal{B}_0(\psi, \psi) + b \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2$$

for some $0 < a < 1$ and $b > 0$, and by the KLMN theorem, the form \mathcal{B}^ε is closed, semi-bounded and defines a self-adjoint operator, coinciding with \mathbf{H}^ε ; see, e.g., Ref. 11. Additionally, \mathbf{H}^ε is bounded from below uniformly in ε ; i.e., there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that $\inf \sigma(\mathbf{H}^\varepsilon) > -\lambda_0$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Since it is more convenient to formulate both the approximating problem and the limiting problem in Fourier space, in what follows we introduce some notation concerning the variables in momentum space. We remark that we define the Fourier transform so as to be unitary in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$; see Appendix B for the explicit definition.

We denote by k_σ the conjugate coordinate of x_σ and by p_ℓ the conjugate coordinate of y_ℓ . Let $\hat{\mathbf{H}}_0$ be the operator unitarily equivalent to \mathbf{H}_0 via Fourier transform and let $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_0(\lambda) = (\hat{\mathbf{H}}_0 + \lambda)^{-1}$, $\lambda > 0$. Both of them act as multiplication operators, more precisely,

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}_0 f(k_\sigma, p_\ell) = \left(\frac{k_\sigma^2}{2m_\sigma} + \frac{p_\ell^2}{2\mu_\ell} \right) f(k_\sigma, p_\ell), \quad \hat{\mathbf{R}}_0(\lambda) f(k_\sigma, p_\ell) = \left(\frac{k_\sigma^2}{2m_\sigma} + \frac{p_\ell^2}{2\mu_\ell} + \lambda \right)^{-1} f(k_\sigma, p_\ell).$$

For the reader’s sake, we recall that different pairs of Jacobi coordinates are related by the following formulae:

$$k_{31} = \frac{m_3 M}{(m_2 + m_3)(m_3 + m_1)} p_1 - \frac{m_1}{m_3 + m_1} k_{23}, \quad p_2 = -\frac{m_2}{m_2 + m_3} p_1 - k_{23}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$k_{12} = -\frac{m_2 M}{(m_2 + m_3)(m_1 + m_2)} p_1 - \frac{m_1}{m_1 + m_2} k_{23}, \quad p_3 = -\frac{m_3}{m_2 + m_3} p_1 + k_{23}, \quad (2.4)$$

where M is the total mass of the system; see Eq. (1.2). The other changes of coordinates are obtained by permutation of the indices in the formulae above. For example, if for the sake of concreteness we fix $\sigma = \{23\}$ and $\ell = 1$, we have

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}_0 f(k_{23}, p_1) = \left(\frac{k_{23}^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{p_1^2}{2\mu_1} \right) f(k_{23}, p_1).$$

We can also write functions in the p ’s coordinates only; for this reason, we recall the change of variables

$$k_{23} = -p_2 - \frac{m_2}{m_2 + m_3} p_1 ; p_1 = p_1. \quad (2.5)$$

In the coordinates (p_2, p_1) , we have

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}_0 f(p_2, p_1) = \left(\frac{p_2^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{p_2 \cdot p_1}{m_3} + \frac{p_1^2}{2m_{13}} \right) f(p_2, p_1). \quad (2.6)$$

We remark that in the latter formula we abused notation and used the symbol f to denote the same function written in two different systems of coordinates, the (k_γ, p_ℓ) -coordinates and the p -coordinates.

Analogous changes of coordinates are obtained by permutations of the indices and by taking into account the identity $p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = 0$, for more explicit formulae, we refer to Ref. 8. Similar formulae hold for $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_0(\lambda)$.

We introduce some notation before representing $\mathbf{R}^\varepsilon(\lambda) = (\mathbf{H}^\varepsilon + \lambda)^{-1}$ through Faddeev’s equations. Here we always assume $\lambda > 0$ such that $\inf \sigma(\mathbf{H}^\varepsilon) > -\lambda$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Denote by $t_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda; k_\gamma, k'_\gamma)$ the integral kernel in Fourier transform of the operator $\mathbf{t}_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda) : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ defined in Eq. (A10). One has that

$$\hat{\mathbf{t}}_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda)f(k_\gamma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} t_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda; k_\gamma, k'_\gamma)f(k'_\gamma)dk'_\gamma. \tag{2.7}$$

By taking the Fourier transform of Eq. (A10), one infers that the kernel $t_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda)$ satisfies the following integral equation:

$$t_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda; k_\gamma, k'_\gamma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\hat{v}_\gamma(\varepsilon(k_\gamma - k'_\gamma)) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \hat{v}_\gamma(\varepsilon(k_\gamma - q)) \frac{1}{q^2/(2m_\gamma) + \lambda} t_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda; q, k'_\gamma). \tag{2.8}$$

Hence, by Eq. (A11), in Fourier transform, the operator $\mathbf{T}_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda) : L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is given by

$$\hat{\mathbf{T}}_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda)f(k_\gamma, p_\ell) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dk'_\gamma t_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda + p_\ell^2/(2\mu_\ell); k_\gamma, k'_\gamma)f(k'_\gamma, p_\ell). \tag{2.9}$$

We remark that in what follows, in particular, in Eq. (2.12), we shall rewrite the latter formula for $\hat{\mathbf{T}}_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda)$ in the p 's coordinates. Equation (2.12) is obtained by taking into account the changes of variables (2.3)–(2.5).

We are now ready to write down Faddeev's equations in explicit form [see Appendix A, Eqs. (A7)–(A9)]: let $\hat{\mathbf{R}}^\varepsilon(\lambda)$ be the conjugate operator to $\mathbf{R}^\varepsilon(\lambda)$; then we have

$$\hat{\mathbf{R}}^\varepsilon(\lambda)f = \hat{\mathbf{R}}_0(\lambda)f + \hat{\mathbf{R}}_0(\lambda) \sum_{m=1}^3 \rho^{(m),\varepsilon}(\lambda), \tag{2.10}$$

where the functions $\rho^{(m),\varepsilon}(\lambda)$ satisfy the system of equations obtained by permuting indices in

$$\rho^{(1),\varepsilon}(\lambda) = -\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{23}^\varepsilon(\lambda)\hat{\mathbf{R}}_0(\lambda)f - \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{23}^\varepsilon(\lambda)\hat{\mathbf{R}}_0(\lambda)\rho^{(2),\varepsilon}(\lambda) - \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{23}^\varepsilon(\lambda)\hat{\mathbf{R}}_0(\lambda)\rho^{(3),\varepsilon}(\lambda). \tag{2.11}$$

In the coordinates (p_2, p_1) , Eq. (2.11) reads

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{(1),\varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) = & - \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \frac{t_{23}^\varepsilon(\lambda + p^2/(2\mu_1); -q - \frac{m_2}{m_2+m_3}p, -q' - \frac{m_2}{m_2+m_3}p)}{\frac{q'^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{q'p}{m_3} + \frac{p^2}{2m_{13}} + \lambda} f(q', p) \\ & - \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \frac{t_{23}^\varepsilon(\lambda + p^2/(2\mu_1); -q - \frac{m_2}{m_2+m_3}p, -q' - \frac{m_2}{m_2+m_3}p)}{\frac{q'^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{q'p}{m_3} + \frac{p^2}{2m_{13}} + \lambda} \rho^{(2),\varepsilon}(\lambda; -p - q', q') \\ & - \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \frac{t_{23}^\varepsilon(\lambda + p^2/(2\mu_1); -q - \frac{m_2}{m_2+m_3}p, q' + \frac{m_3}{m_2+m_3}p)}{\frac{q'^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{q'p}{m_2} + \frac{p^2}{2m_{12}} + \lambda} \rho^{(3),\varepsilon}(\lambda; p, q'). \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

We remark that the functions $\rho^{(m),\varepsilon}(\lambda)$ are always understood to be written in their “natural” variables, i.e., $\rho^{(1),\varepsilon}(\lambda) = \rho^{(1),\varepsilon}(\lambda; p_2, p_1)$, $\rho^{(2),\varepsilon}(\lambda) = \rho^{(2),\varepsilon}(\lambda; p_3, p_2)$, and $\rho^{(3),\varepsilon}(\lambda) = \rho^{(3),\varepsilon}(\lambda; p_1, p_3)$.

III. THE LIMITING PROBLEM

In this section, we discuss the rigorous definition of the Hamiltonian \mathbf{H} describing three particles interacting through contact interactions and formally written as in Eq. (1.3).

We shall denote by π_γ the coincidence line (hyperplane) of the particles in the pair γ , i.e., in the Jacobi coordinates (x_γ, y_l) , π_γ is identified by $x_\gamma = 0$. The hyperplanes π_γ identify six regions Γ_r , $r = 1, \dots, 6$. For the sake of clarity, we write explicitly the definition of Γ_r in the coordinates (x_{23}, y_1) ; obviously we could have equivalently used any other pair of Jacobi coordinates,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1 = \left\{ (x_{23}, y_1) \mid \begin{array}{l} x_{23} \geq 0, \\ y_1 < -\frac{m_3}{m_2+m_3}x_{23} \end{array} \right\}, & \Gamma_2 = \left\{ (x_{23}, y_1) \mid \begin{array}{l} x_{23} \geq 0, \\ -\frac{m_3}{m_2+m_3}x_{23} < y_1 < \frac{m_2}{m_2+m_3}x_{23} \end{array} \right\}, \\ \Gamma_3 = \left\{ (x_{23}, y_1) \mid \begin{array}{l} x_{23} \geq 0, \\ y_1 > \frac{m_2}{m_2+m_3}x_{23} \end{array} \right\}, & \Gamma_4 = \{(x_{23}, y_1) \mid (-x_{23}, -y_1) \in \Gamma_1\}, \\ \Gamma_5 = \{(x_{23}, y_1) \mid (-x_{23}, -y_1) \in \Gamma_2\}, & \Gamma_6 = \{(x_{23}, y_1) \mid (-x_{23}, -y_1) \in \Gamma_3\}. \end{aligned}$$

For any function $\psi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $s > 1/2$, we denote by $\psi|_{\pi_\gamma}$ its trace on the hyperplane π_γ and we recall that the map $\psi \rightarrow \psi|_{\pi_\gamma}$ extends to a continuous one from $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $H^{s-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s > 1/2$. Sometimes, when we need to make explicit the dependence of $\psi \rightarrow \psi|_{\pi_\gamma}$ on the coordinate y_ℓ , we shall simply write $\psi \rightarrow \psi|_{\pi_\gamma}(y)$ omitting the suffix ℓ when no misunderstanding is possible.

To give a rigorous definition of the operator \mathbf{H} , we start with a natural choice of the quadratic (sesquilinear) form: since the potential $\alpha_\gamma \delta_\gamma$ is supported by the hyperplane π_γ , we set

$$\mathcal{B}(\varphi, \psi) := \mathcal{B}_0(\varphi, \psi) + \sum_{\sigma} \alpha_{\sigma}(\varphi|_{\pi_{\sigma}}, \psi|_{\pi_{\sigma}})_{L^2(\pi_{\sigma})}, \quad D(\mathcal{B}) := H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^2),$$

where the definition of \mathcal{B}_0 was given in Eq. (2.1).

Remark 3.1. By Eq. (2.2), it immediately follows that

$$\sum_{\sigma} |\alpha_{\sigma}| \|\psi|_{\pi_{\sigma}}\|_{L^2(\pi_{\sigma})}^2 \leq a \mathcal{B}_0(\psi, \psi) + b \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2$$

for some $0 < a < 1$ and $b > 0$; hence by KLMN theorem, the form \mathcal{B} is closed, semi-bounded and defines a self-adjoint operator bounded from below; see also Ref. 3.

We denote by Π the union of the hyperplanes $\pi_{12}, \pi_{23}, \pi_{31}$: $\Pi = \cup_{\sigma} \pi_{\sigma}$.

Moreover we denote by $[\partial_{x_\gamma} \psi]_{\pi_\gamma}$ the jump of the normal derivative of the function ψ across the plane π_γ , i.e.,

$$[\partial_{x_\gamma} \psi]_{\pi_\gamma} \equiv [\partial_{x_\gamma} \psi]_{\pi_\gamma}(y_\ell) := \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} (\partial_{x_\gamma} \psi(\eta, y_\ell) - \partial_{x_\gamma} \psi(-\eta, y_\ell)).$$

Theorem 2. *The self-adjoint operator associated with the closed and semi-bounded quadratic form \mathcal{B} is*

$$D(\mathbf{H}) := \left\{ \psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Pi) \cap H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \mid [\partial_{x_\gamma} \psi]_{\pi_\gamma} = 2m_\gamma \alpha_\gamma \psi|_{\pi_\gamma} \quad \forall \gamma \right\}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\mathbf{H}\psi = \mathbf{H}_0\psi \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Pi. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. According to the general theory, the operator associated with \mathcal{B} is defined by

$$D(\mathbf{H}) := \{ \psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \mid \exists f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ s.t. } \mathcal{B}(\varphi, \psi) = (\varphi, f) \quad \forall \varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \},$$

$$\mathbf{H}\psi = f.$$

Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Gamma_1) \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\psi \in D(\mathbf{H})$. Then

$$(\varphi, f) = \mathcal{B}(\varphi, \psi) = \mathcal{B}_0(\varphi, \psi),$$

and hence $\psi \in H^2(\Gamma_1)$ and $f = \mathbf{H}_0\psi$ in Γ_1 . Repeating the argument for Γ_r , $r = 2, \dots, 6$, we conclude that $\psi \in D(\mathbf{H})$ implies $\psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Pi)$ and $f = \mathbf{H}_0\psi$ on $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Pi$. This proves Eq. (3.2).

It remains to show the validity of the boundary conditions in Eq. (3.1): $[\partial_{x_\gamma} \psi]_{\pi_\gamma} = 2m_\gamma \alpha_\gamma \psi|_{\pi_\gamma} \quad \forall \gamma$. To this end, we consider $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Gamma_6 \cup \Gamma_1) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Using for definiteness the coordinates (x_{23}, y_1) , we have

$$(\varphi, f) = \mathcal{B}(\varphi, \psi) = \mathcal{B}_0(\varphi, \psi) + \alpha_{23} \int_{-\infty}^0 dy_1 \overline{\varphi|_{\pi_{23}}(y_1)} \psi|_{\pi_{23}}(y_1). \quad (3.3)$$

Let $\pi_{23, \delta} = \{(x_{23}, y_1) : |x_{23}| < \delta, y_1 < 0\}$, $\Gamma_6^\delta = \Gamma_6 \setminus \pi_{23, \delta}$, $\Gamma_1^\delta = \Gamma_1 \setminus \pi_{23, \delta}$. Then, by Eq. (3.2), it follows

$$(\varphi, f) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \left[\int_{\Gamma_6^\delta} dx_{23} dy_1 \overline{\varphi} \mathbf{H}_0\psi + \int_{\Gamma_1^\delta} dx_{23} dy_1 \overline{\varphi} \mathbf{H}_0\psi \right]. \quad (3.4)$$

On the other hand,

$$\mathcal{B}_0(\varphi, \psi) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Gamma_6^\delta \cup \Gamma_1^\delta} \frac{1}{2m_{23}} \overline{\partial_{x_{23}} \varphi} \partial_{x_{23}} \psi + \frac{1}{2\mu_1} \overline{\partial_{y_1} \varphi} \partial_{y_1} \psi \, dx_{23} \, dy_1.$$

Integrating by parts and taking the limit for $\delta \rightarrow 0$ on the boundary term, one obtains

$$B_0(\varphi, \psi) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \left[\int_{\Gamma_6^\delta} dx_{23} dy_1 \overline{\varphi} \mathbf{H}_0 \psi + \int_{\Gamma_1^\delta} dx_{23} dy_1 \overline{\varphi} \mathbf{H}_0 \psi \right] - \frac{1}{2m_{23}} \int_{-\infty}^0 dy_1 \overline{\varphi|_{\pi_{23}}(y_1)} [\partial_{x_{23}} \psi]_{\pi_{23}}(y_1). \tag{3.5}$$

By Eqs. (3.3)–(3.5), we conclude

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 dy_1 \overline{\varphi|_{\pi_{23}}(y_1)} \left[\alpha_{23} \psi|_{\pi_{23}}(y_1) - \frac{1}{2m_{23}} [\partial_{x_{23}} \psi]_{\pi_{23}}(y_1) \right] = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Gamma_6 \cup \Gamma_1).$$

Hence

$$[\partial_{x_\gamma} \psi]_{\pi_{23}} = 2m_{23} \alpha_{23} \psi|_{\pi_{23}}$$

on $\pi_{23}^- = \{(x_{23}, y_1) : x_{23} = 0, y_1 < 0\}$. Repeating the argument for $\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_j, i < j$, we conclude the proof. \square

In the following, we find the expression of the resolvent operator $(\mathbf{H} + \lambda)^{-1}$ for $\lambda > 0$ such that $\inf \sigma(\mathbf{H}) > -\lambda$. First we introduce several operators. Let

$$\check{\mathbf{G}}(\lambda) : L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow L^2(\pi_{23}) \oplus L^2(\pi_{31}) \oplus L^2(\pi_{12}),$$

$$\check{\mathbf{G}}(\lambda) := (\check{G}_{23}(\lambda), \check{G}_{31}(\lambda), \check{G}_{12}(\lambda))$$

with $\check{G}_\gamma(\lambda) : L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow L^2(\pi_\gamma)$ defined by

$$\check{G}_\gamma(\lambda) f := \mathbf{R}_0(\lambda) f|_{\pi_\gamma}. \tag{3.6}$$

Let

$$\mathbf{G}(\lambda) : L^2(\pi_{23}) \oplus L^2(\pi_{31}) \oplus L^2(\pi_{12}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^2),$$

$$\mathbf{G}(\lambda) := \check{\mathbf{G}}(\lambda)^*.$$

Hence, for $\mathbf{q} = (q^{(1)}, q^{(2)}, q^{(3)}) \in L^2(\pi_{23}) \oplus L^2(\pi_{31}) \oplus L^2(\pi_{12})$, one has

$$\mathbf{G}(\lambda) \mathbf{q} = \sum_\gamma G_\gamma(\lambda) q^{(\ell)},$$

where $G_\gamma(\lambda) : L^2(\pi_\gamma) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is the adjoint of $\check{G}_\gamma(\lambda)$. We note that the action of $G_\gamma(\lambda)$ is formally given by

$$G_\gamma(\lambda) q^{(\ell)} = \mathbf{R}_0(\lambda) (q^{(\ell)} \delta_\gamma).$$

We refer to $\mathbf{G}(\lambda) \mathbf{q}$ as the potential produced by the charges \mathbf{q} . Note also that, as a matter of fact, the spaces $L^2(\pi_\gamma)$ can be identified with $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dy_\ell)$. Finally, we introduce two matrix operators acting on $L^2(\pi_{23}) \oplus L^2(\pi_{31}) \oplus L^2(\pi_{12})$. The operator \mathbf{M} is defined by

$$(\mathbf{M}(\lambda))_{\gamma\sigma} := M_{\gamma\sigma}(\lambda), \quad M_{\gamma\sigma}(\lambda) : L^2(\pi_\sigma) \rightarrow L^2(\pi_\gamma)$$

with

$$M_{\gamma\sigma}(\lambda) q := G_\sigma(\lambda) q|_{\pi_\gamma}, \quad q \in L^2(\pi_\sigma),$$

and the constant matrix \mathbf{A} with components

$$A_{\gamma\sigma} = \begin{cases} \alpha_\gamma, & \gamma = \sigma \\ 0, & \gamma \neq \sigma. \end{cases}$$

Denote moreover by \mathbf{I} the identity operator in $L^2(\pi_{23}) \oplus L^2(\pi_{31}) \oplus L^2(\pi_{12})$.

Theorem 3. For all $\lambda > 0$ sufficiently large, one has

$$\mathbf{R}(\lambda) = (\mathbf{H} + \lambda)^{-1} = \mathbf{R}_0(\lambda) - \mathbf{G}(\lambda) (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{M}(\lambda))^{-1} \mathbf{A} \check{\mathbf{G}}(\lambda).$$

Proof. First we remark that \mathbf{H} is a semi-bounded operator; hence, its resolvent $\mathbf{R}(\lambda)$ is a bounded operator for all $\lambda > 0$ such that $\inf \sigma(\mathbf{H}) > -\lambda$. Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. We want to show that the unique solution of

$$(\mathbf{H} + \lambda) \psi = f \tag{3.7}$$

is given by

$$\psi = \mathbf{R}_0(\lambda)f + \mathbf{G}(\lambda)\mathbf{q}, \tag{3.8}$$

where $\mathbf{q} \in L^2(\pi_{23}) \oplus L^2(\pi_{31}) \oplus L^2(\pi_{12})$ is

$$\mathbf{q} = -(\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{AM}(\lambda))^{-1} \mathbf{A}\check{\mathbf{G}}(\lambda)f. \tag{3.9}$$

First we show that $(\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{AM}(\lambda))$ is invertible. Let $q \in L^2(\pi_\gamma)$; recalling Eq. (B5) and by the unitarity of the Fourier transform, we get

$$\|M_{\gamma\gamma}(\lambda)q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = \frac{m_\gamma}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp_\ell \left| \frac{\hat{q}(p_\ell)}{\sqrt{\frac{p_\ell}{2\mu_\ell} + \lambda}} \right|^2 \leq C \frac{\|q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2}{\lambda}.$$

On the other hand, if $q \in L^2(\pi_{\gamma'})$, by Eq. (B6) [see also Eq. (B7)], by the unitarity of the Fourier transform and by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\|M_{\gamma\gamma'}(\lambda)q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq \frac{\|q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dp_\ell dp_{\ell'} \frac{1}{\left| \frac{p_\ell^2}{2m_\gamma} + \frac{p_\ell \cdot p_{\ell'}}{2m_j} + \frac{p_{\ell'}^2}{2m_{\gamma'}} + \lambda \right|^2} \leq C \frac{\|q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2}{\lambda}, \quad \gamma \neq \gamma',$$

with ℓ' denoting the companion index of γ' and $j \neq \ell, \ell'$. The latter inequality can be easily proved by scaling. We conclude that for $\lambda > 0$ sufficiently large one has $\|\mathbf{AM}(\lambda)\| < 1$; hence, $(\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{AM}(\lambda))$ is invertible.

It remains to show that ψ defined by Eq. (3.8) is the solution of Eq. (3.7). First note that $\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap H^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Pi)$ as a direct consequence of Eq. (3.8) and of Eq. (B4). Moreover from Eq. (B4), it is easy to convince oneself of the fact that

$$[\partial_{x_\gamma} G_\gamma(\lambda)q^{(\ell)}]_{\pi_\gamma} = -2m_\gamma q^{(\ell)}, \quad [\partial_{x_\sigma} G_\gamma(\lambda)q^{(\ell)}]_{\pi_\sigma} = 0, \quad \sigma \neq \gamma,$$

and since $[\partial_{x_\gamma} \mathbf{R}_0(\lambda)f]_{\pi_\gamma} = 0$, one infers

$$[\partial_{x_\gamma} \psi]_{\pi_\gamma} = -2m_\gamma q^{(\ell)}.$$

Using now Eqs. (3.9) and (3.8), one has

$$q^{(\ell)} = -\alpha_\gamma \check{G}_\gamma(\lambda)f - \alpha_\gamma \sum_{\gamma'} M_{\gamma\gamma'}(\lambda)q^{(\ell')} = -\alpha_\gamma \psi|_{\pi_\gamma}. \tag{3.10}$$

Hence, $[\partial_{x_\gamma} \psi]_{\pi_\gamma} = 2\alpha_\gamma m_\gamma \psi|_{\pi_\gamma}$ and ψ belongs to $D(\mathbf{H})$. Moreover, by Eq. (B4), it follows that $G_\gamma(\lambda)q^{(\ell)}$ satisfies

$$(\mathbf{H}_0 + \lambda)G_\gamma(\lambda)q^{(\ell)} = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Pi.$$

Recalling Eq. (3.2), the above equation implies

$$(\mathbf{H} + \lambda)\psi = f,$$

which concludes the proof. □

In the following, we explicitly write the equation for the charges \mathbf{q} in momentum space. The Fourier transform of Eq. (3.10), taking into account the formulae collected in Appendix B, gives

$$\left(1 + \frac{\alpha_{23}\sqrt{2m_{23}}}{2\sqrt{\frac{p_1^2}{2\mu_1} + \lambda}} \right) \hat{q}^{(1)}(p_1) = -\frac{\alpha_{23}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp_2 \frac{1}{\frac{p_2^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{p_2 \cdot p_1}{m_3} + \frac{p_1^2}{2m_{31}} + \lambda} f(p_2, p_1) - \frac{\alpha_{23}}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp_2 \frac{1}{\frac{p_2^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{p_2 \cdot p_1}{m_3} + \frac{p_1^2}{2m_{31}} + \lambda} \hat{q}^{(2)}(p_2) - \frac{\alpha_{23}}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp_3 \frac{1}{\frac{p_3^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{p_3 \cdot p_1}{m_2} + \frac{p_1^2}{2m_{12}} + \lambda} \hat{q}^{(3)}(p_3).$$

Two similar equations are obtained by permutation of the indices. We note that with a slight abuse of notation we denoted by the same symbol the function f and its Fourier transform.

Define $\xi^{(\ell)}(p_\ell) = \hat{q}^{(\ell)}(p_\ell)/\sqrt{2\pi}$ and set

$$\tau_\gamma(\lambda) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\alpha_\gamma}{1 + \alpha_\gamma \sqrt{\frac{m_\gamma}{2\lambda}}}, \quad \alpha_\gamma \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then $\xi^{(\ell)}(p_\ell)$ satisfy the system of equations [in what follows, we make explicit the dependence of $\xi^{(\ell)}$ on λ],

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^{(1)}(\lambda; p) = & - \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \frac{\tau_{23}(\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2\mu_1})}{\frac{q'^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{q' \cdot p}{m_3} + \frac{p^2}{2m_{31}} + \lambda} f(q', p) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \frac{\tau_{23}(\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2\mu_1})}{\frac{q'^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{q' \cdot p}{m_3} + \frac{p^2}{2m_{31}} + \lambda} \xi^{(2)}(\lambda; q') \\ & - \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \frac{\tau_{23}(\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2\mu_1})}{\frac{q'^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{q' \cdot p}{m_2} + \frac{p^2}{2m_{12}} + \lambda} \xi^{(3)}(\lambda; q'), \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

and two more equations obtained by permutation of indices.

We conclude this section with the proof of a bound on the L^2 -norm of the functions $\xi^{(j)}$, $j = 1, 2, 3$; see Proposition 3.4.

Remark 3.2. For any $\alpha_\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $\tilde{\lambda} > 0$ such that, for all $\lambda > \tilde{\lambda}$, one has that

$$|\tau_\gamma(\lambda)| \leq \frac{|\alpha_\gamma|}{\pi}.$$

To see that this is indeed the case: if $\alpha_\gamma \geq 0$, one has $\tau_\gamma(\lambda) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}$ for all $\lambda > 0$; if $\alpha < 0$, take $\tilde{\lambda} = 2\alpha^2 m_\gamma$.

Remark 3.3. We note that

$$\frac{q^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{q \cdot p}{m_3} + \frac{p^2}{2m_{31}} \geq \frac{q^2 + p^2}{2 \max\{m_1, m_2\}}.$$

So that, by setting $C_{12} := 2 \max\{m_1, m_3\}$, we get

$$\frac{1}{\frac{q^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{q \cdot p}{m_3} + \frac{p^2}{2m_{31}} + \lambda} \leq \frac{C_{12}}{q^2 + p^2 + C_{12}\lambda}.$$

In what follows, we shall often use, without further warning, the latter inequality (or similar ones obtained by permutation of the indices). Moreover, we shall use the identity

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(s^2 + \eta)^b} ds = \frac{C_b}{\eta^{b-\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad \eta > 0, b > 1/2.$$

Proposition 3.4. For all $\lambda > 0$ sufficiently large, one has

$$\|\xi^{(j)}(\lambda)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3. \tag{3.12}$$

Proof. From Eq. (3.11), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp |\xi^{(1)}(\lambda; p)|^2 \leq & C \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \frac{1}{q'^2 + p^2 + C_{12}\lambda} |f(q', p)| \right)^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \frac{1}{q'^2 + p^2 + C_{12}\lambda} |\xi^{(2)}(\lambda; q')| \right)^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \frac{1}{q'^2 + p^2 + C_{31}\lambda} |\xi^{(3)}(\lambda; q')| \right)^2 \right] \\ \leq & C \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \frac{1}{(p^2 + C_{12}\lambda)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' |f(q', p)|^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \frac{1}{(p^2 + C_{12}\lambda)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' |\xi^{(2)}(\lambda; q')|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \frac{1}{(p^2 + C_{31}\lambda)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' |\xi^{(3)}(\lambda; q')|^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Here, in the first inequality, we took into account Remarks 3.2 and 3.3; in the second inequality, we used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and again Remark. 3.3. Hence

$$\|\xi^{(1)}(\lambda)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 + \frac{C}{\lambda} \left(\|\xi^{(2)}(\lambda)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\xi^{(3)}(\lambda)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right).$$

Similar inequalities are obtained by permutation of the indices, i.e.,

$$\|\xi^{(2)}(\lambda)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 + \frac{C}{\lambda} \left(\|\xi^{(1)}(\lambda)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\xi^{(3)}(\lambda)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right),$$

$$\|\xi^{(3)}(\lambda)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 + \frac{C}{\lambda} \left(\|\xi^{(1)}(\lambda)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\xi^{(2)}(\lambda)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right).$$

Summing up all the inequalities, we obtain

$$\left(1 - \frac{C}{\lambda}\right) \sum_{j=1}^3 \|\xi^{(j)}(\lambda)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2.$$

For λ large enough, the latter inequality implies $\sum_{j=1}^3 \|\xi^{(j)}(\lambda)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2$, which in turn implies Bound (3.12). □

IV. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

In this section, we prove Theorem 1. As a preliminary result, we prove an *a priori* estimate on $t_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda)$ and a bound on $t_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda) - \tau_\gamma(\lambda)$.

Lemma 4.1. Assume that $v_\gamma \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1 + |x|)^b dx)$ for some $0 < b < 1$ and for all $\gamma = 23, 31, 12$. Moreover set $\alpha_\gamma = \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_\gamma dx$. Then for all $\lambda > 0$ sufficiently large, one has

$$\sup_{k, k' \in \mathbb{R}} |t_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda; k, k')| \leq C \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\sup_{k, k' \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|t_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda; k, k') - \tau_\gamma(\lambda)|}{|k|^b + |k'|^b + 1} \leq C \varepsilon^b, \tag{4.2}$$

where $0 < \varepsilon < 1$.

Remark 4.2. Obviously the assumption on the potentials v_γ is satisfied whenever $v_\gamma \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1 + |x|)^s dx)$ for some $s > 0$. We note that the speed of converges in Bound (4.2) improves only up to $s = 1$; in particular, it does not exceed ε^δ , $\delta < \min\{1, s\}$.

Proof of Lemma 4.1. Denoting by $\hat{v}_\gamma(k)$ the Fourier transform of $v_\gamma(x)$, we note that, since $v_\gamma \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{R}} |\hat{v}_\gamma(k)| \leq C. \tag{4.3}$$

From Eqs. (2.8) and (4.3), we infer

$$|t_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda; k, k')| \leq C + \frac{C}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \sup_{p \in \mathbb{R}} |t_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda; p, k')|.$$

By taking the supremum over k and k' , and up to choosing λ large enough, the latter bound implies the *a priori* estimate (4.1).

To prove Bound (4.2), we start by noting that since $v_\gamma \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1 + |x|)^b dx)$, we have that

$$|\hat{v}_\gamma(k) - \hat{v}_\gamma(0)| = \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{ikx} - 1)v_\gamma(x) dx \right| \leq |k|^b \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^b |v_\gamma(x)| dx \leq C |k|^b. \tag{4.4}$$

Moreover, $\alpha_\gamma = \sqrt{2\pi} \hat{v}_\gamma(0)$ and the function $\tau_\gamma(\lambda)$ satisfies the identity

$$\tau_\gamma(\lambda) = \frac{\hat{v}_\gamma(0)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\hat{v}_\gamma(0)}{p^2/(2m_\gamma) + \lambda} \tau_\gamma(\lambda) dp. \tag{4.5}$$

By taking the difference of Eqs. (2.8) and (4.5), one has

$$t_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda; k, k') - \tau_\gamma(\lambda) = \frac{\hat{v}_\gamma(\varepsilon(k - k')) - \hat{v}_\gamma(0)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\hat{v}_\gamma(\varepsilon(k - p)) - \hat{v}_\gamma(0)}{p^2/(2m_\gamma) + \lambda} \tau_\gamma(\lambda) dp - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\hat{v}_\gamma(\varepsilon(k - p))}{p^2/(2m_\gamma) + \lambda} (t_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda; p, k') - \tau_\gamma(\lambda)) dp. \tag{4.6}$$

By using the fact that \hat{v}_γ is bounded and Bound (4.4) in Eq. (4.6), we have that

$$\frac{|t_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda; k, k') - \tau_\gamma(\lambda)|}{|k|^b + |k'|^b + 1} \leq C\varepsilon^b + \frac{C\varepsilon^b |\tau_\gamma(\lambda)|}{|k|^b + |k'|^b + 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|k|^b + |p|^b}{p^2/(2m_\gamma) + \lambda} dp + \frac{C}{|k|^b + |k'|^b + 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|p|^b + |k'|^b + 1}{p^2/(2m_\gamma) + \lambda} \frac{|t_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda; p, k') - \tau_\gamma(\lambda)|}{|p|^b + |k'|^b + 1} dp.$$

We note that

$$\frac{1}{|k|^b + |k'|^b + 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|p|^b + |k|^b + |k'|^b + 1}{p^2/(2m_\gamma) + \lambda} dp \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{C}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{b}{2}}}}.$$

Hence, for $\lambda > 1$, one has

$$\sup_{k, k' \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|t_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda; k, k') - \tau_\gamma(\lambda)|}{|k|^b + |k'|^b + 1} \leq C\varepsilon^b \left(1 + \frac{|\tau_\gamma(\lambda)|}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{b}{2}}} \right) + \frac{C}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{b}{2}}} \sup_{p, k' \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|t_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda; p, k') - \tau_\gamma(\lambda)|}{|p|^b + |k'|^b + 1}.$$

The latter bound implies Bound (4.2), by Remark 3.2 and up to taking λ large enough. □

Proof of Theorem 1. In the proof of the theorem, we set $b = s$ if $0 < s < 1$; if $s \geq 1$, one can choose any $b \in (0, 1)$.

We fix λ_0 such that

$$\min\{\inf \sigma(\mathbf{H}^\varepsilon), \inf \sigma(\mathbf{H})\} > -\lambda_0 \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0,$$

and we prove that, for $\lambda > \lambda_0$ large enough, one has

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|(\mathbf{H}^\varepsilon + \lambda)^{-1} - (\mathbf{H} + \lambda)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))} = 0.$$

The convergence of $(\mathbf{H}^\varepsilon - z)^{-1}$ to $(\mathbf{H} - z)^{-1}$ for any $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ follows from the identity

$$(\mathbf{H}^\varepsilon - z)^{-1} - (\mathbf{H} - z)^{-1} = \frac{\mathbf{H}^\varepsilon + \lambda}{\mathbf{H}^\varepsilon - z} \left[(\mathbf{H}^\varepsilon + \lambda)^{-1} - (\mathbf{H} + \lambda)^{-1} \right] \frac{\mathbf{H} + \lambda}{\mathbf{H} - z},$$

and see, for example, Ref. 5, Lemma 2.6.1. Since they are unitarily equivalent, we can estimate the norm of $\hat{\mathbf{R}}^\varepsilon(\lambda) - \hat{\mathbf{R}}(\lambda)$ where $\hat{\mathbf{R}}(\lambda)$ is the conjugate of $\mathbf{R}(\lambda)$ through Fourier transform. Taking into account Eqs. (2.10) and (3.8), we have that

$$(\hat{\mathbf{R}}^\varepsilon(\lambda) - \hat{\mathbf{R}}(\lambda))f = \hat{\mathbf{R}}_0(\lambda) \sum_m \rho^{(m),\varepsilon}(\lambda) - \hat{\mathbf{G}}(\lambda)\hat{q}(\lambda),$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{G}}(\lambda) = (\hat{G}_{23}(\lambda), \hat{G}_{31}(\lambda), \hat{G}_{12}(\lambda))$ and $\hat{q} = (\hat{q}^{(1)}, \hat{q}^{(2)}, \hat{q}^{(3)})$. Taking into account the explicit form of the resolvent $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_0(\lambda)$ in the p -coordinates, see, e.g., Eq. (2.6), and by Eq. (B8) [together with the definition of $\xi^{(\ell)}(\lambda)$], we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\hat{\mathbf{R}}^\varepsilon(\lambda) - \hat{\mathbf{R}}(\lambda))f(p_2, p_1) = \\ & = \frac{\rho^{(1),\varepsilon}(\lambda; p_2, p_1) - \xi^{(1)}(\lambda; p_1)}{\frac{p_2^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{p_2 \cdot p_1}{m_3} + \frac{p_1^2}{2m_{13}} + \lambda} + \frac{\rho^{(2),\varepsilon}(\lambda; p_3, p_2) - \xi^{(2)}(\lambda; p_2)}{\frac{p_3^2}{2m_{31}} + \frac{p_3 \cdot p_2}{m_1} + \frac{p_2^2}{2m_{21}} + \lambda} + \frac{\rho^{(3),\varepsilon}(\lambda; p_1, p_3) - \xi^{(3)}(\lambda; p_3)}{\frac{p_1^2}{2m_{12}} + \frac{p_1 \cdot p_3}{m_2} + \frac{p_3^2}{2m_{32}} + \lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

where $p_3 = -p_1 - p_2$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\hat{\mathbf{R}}^\varepsilon(\lambda) - \hat{\mathbf{R}}(\lambda))f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dq dp \left[\frac{|\rho^{(1),\varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) - \xi^{(1)}(\lambda; p)|^2}{\left| \frac{q^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{q \cdot p}{m_3} + \frac{p^2}{2m_{31}} + \lambda \right|^2} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{|\rho^{(2),\varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) - \xi^{(2)}(\lambda; p)|^2}{\left| \frac{q^2}{2m_{31}} + \frac{q \cdot p}{m_1} + \frac{p^2}{2m_{21}} + \lambda \right|^2} + \frac{|\rho^{(3),\varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) - \xi^{(3)}(\lambda; p)|^2}{\left| \frac{q^2}{2m_{12}} + \frac{q \cdot p}{m_2} + \frac{p^2}{2m_{32}} + \lambda \right|^2} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

We note the chain of inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dq dp \frac{|\rho^{(1),\varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) - \xi^{(1)}(\lambda; p)|^2}{\left| \frac{q^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{q \cdot p}{m_3} + \frac{p^2}{2m_{31}} + \lambda \right|^2} \leq C_{12}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \frac{1}{(q^2 + C_{12}\lambda)^{2-b}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \frac{|\rho^{(1),\varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) - \xi^{(1)}(\lambda; p)|^2}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{12}\lambda)^b} \\ \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}-b}} \sup_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \frac{|\rho^{(1),\varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) - \xi^{(1)}(\lambda; p)|^2}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

for any $0 < b < 3/2$. Here we used Remark 3.3 and the trivial inequality

$$\frac{1}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{12}\lambda)^2} \leq \frac{1}{(q^2 + C_{12}\lambda)^{2-b}} \frac{1}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{12}\lambda)^b}$$

and defined C_{123} to be the least of C_{12} , C_{23} , and C_{31} . Two analogous inequalities hold true for the terms involving $\rho^{(2),\varepsilon} - \xi^{(2)}$ and $\rho^{(3),\varepsilon} - \xi^{(3)}$.

Hence it is sufficient to prove

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \frac{|\rho^{(\ell),\varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) - \xi^{(\ell)}(\lambda; p)|^2}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b} = 0, \quad \ell = 1, 2, 3.$$

Using Eqs. (2.12) and (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \rho^{(1),\varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) - \xi^{(1)}(\lambda; p) \right|^2 \\ & \leq C \left[\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \frac{t_{23}^\varepsilon(\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2\mu_1}; -q - \frac{m_2}{m_2+m_3}p, -q' - \frac{m_2}{m_2+m_3}p) - \tau_{23}(\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2\mu_1})}{\frac{q'^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{q' \cdot p}{m_3} + \frac{p^2}{2m_{31}} + \lambda} f(q', p) \right|^2 \right. \\ & \quad + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \frac{t_{23}^\varepsilon(\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2\mu_1}; -q - \frac{m_2}{m_2+m_3}p, -q' - \frac{m_2}{m_2+m_3}p) - \tau_{23}(\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2\mu_1})}{\frac{q'^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{q' \cdot p}{m_3} + \frac{p^2}{2m_{31}} + \lambda} \xi^{(2)}(\lambda; q') \right|^2 \\ & \quad + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \frac{t_{23}^\varepsilon(\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2\mu_1}; -q - \frac{m_2}{m_2+m_3}p, q' + \frac{m_3}{m_2+m_3}p) - \tau_{23}(\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2\mu_1})}{\frac{q'^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{q' \cdot p}{m_2} + \frac{p^2}{2m_{12}} + \lambda} \xi^{(3)}(\lambda; q') \right|^2 \\ & \quad + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \frac{t_{23}^\varepsilon(\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2\mu_1}; -q - \frac{m_2}{m_2+m_3}p, -q' - \frac{m_2}{m_2+m_3}p)}{\frac{q'^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{q' \cdot p}{m_3} + \frac{p^2}{2m_{31}} + \lambda} (\rho^{(2),\varepsilon}(\lambda; -p - q', q') - \xi^{(2)}(\lambda; q')) \right|^2 \\ & \quad \left. + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \frac{t_{23}^\varepsilon(\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2\mu_1}; -q - \frac{m_2}{m_2+m_3}p, q' + \frac{m_3}{m_2+m_3}p)}{\frac{q'^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{q' \cdot p}{m_2} + \frac{p^2}{2m_{12}} + \lambda} (\rho^{(3),\varepsilon}(\lambda; p, q') - \xi^{(3)}(\lambda; q')) \right|^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The latter inequality together with Lemma 4.1 and Remark 3.3 (setting, as above, C_{123} to be the least of C_{12} , C_{23} , and C_{31}) gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \frac{|\rho^{(1),\varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) - \xi^{(1)}(\lambda; p)|^2}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b} \tag{4.9}$$

$$\leq C\varepsilon^{2b} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dp}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \frac{|p|^b + |q|^b + |q'|^b + 1}{q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda} |f(q', p)| \right)^2 \tag{4.10}$$

$$+ C\varepsilon^{2b} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dp}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \frac{|p|^b + |q|^b + |q'|^b + 1}{q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda} |\xi^{(2)}(\lambda; q')| \right)^2 \tag{4.11}$$

$$+ C\varepsilon^{2b} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dp}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \frac{|p|^b + |q|^b + |q'|^b + 1}{q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda} |\xi^{(3)}(\lambda; q')| \right)^2 \tag{4.12}$$

$$+ C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dp}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \frac{|\rho^{(2),\varepsilon}(\lambda; -p - q', q') - \xi^{(2)}(\lambda; q')|}{q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda} \right)^2 \tag{4.13}$$

$$+ C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dp}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \frac{|\rho^{(3),\varepsilon}(\lambda; p, q') - \xi^{(3)}(\lambda; q')|}{q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda} \right)^2. \tag{4.14}$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the trivial inequality

$$(|p|^b + |q|^b + |q'|^b + 1)^2 \leq C(p^{2b} + q^{2b} + q'^{2b} + 1),$$

Term (4.10) can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} &\varepsilon^{2b} C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dp}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \frac{p^{2b} + q^{2b} + q'^{2b} + 1}{(q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^2} \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' |f(q', p)|^2 \right) \\ &\leq \varepsilon^{2b} C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we used $\frac{p^{2b} + q^{2b}}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b} \leq C$ and $\frac{1}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b} \leq 1$ (for λ large enough), which in turn imply

$$\frac{1}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \frac{p^{2b} + q^{2b} + q'^{2b} + 1}{(q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^2} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \frac{q'^{2b} + 1}{(q'^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^2} \leq C.$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and Bound (3.12), Term (4.11) can be estimated by

$$\varepsilon^{2b} C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dp}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \frac{p^{2b} + q^{2b} + q'^{2b} + 1}{(q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^2} \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' |\xi^{(2)}(q')|^2 \right) \leq \varepsilon^{2b} C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2,$$

where we used

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dp dq' \frac{p^{2b} + q^{2b} + q'^{2b} + 1}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b (q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^2} \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dp dq' \frac{1}{(q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dp dq' \frac{q'^{2b} + 1}{(p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^{b+\frac{1}{2}} (q'^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

The same estimate holds true for Term (4.12).

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, Term (4.13) can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} &C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dp}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \frac{p^{2b} + q^{2b} + (C_{123}\lambda)^b}{(q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^2} \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \frac{|\rho^{(2),\varepsilon}(\lambda; -p - q', q') - \xi^{(2)}(\lambda; q')|^2}{(q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sup_{p \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \frac{|\rho^{(2),\varepsilon}(\lambda; -p - q', q') - \xi^{(2)}(\lambda; q')|^2}{(q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dp dq' \frac{p^{2b} + q'^{2b} + (C_{123}\lambda)^b}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b (q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^2} \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dp dq' \frac{1}{(q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dp dq' \frac{q'^{2b}}{(p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b (q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^2} \\ & \leq \frac{C}{\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

which can be easily proved by scaling. In the same way, Term (4.14) can be estimated by

$$\frac{C}{\lambda} \sup_{p \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \frac{|\rho^{(3),\varepsilon}(\lambda; p, q') - \xi^{(3)}(\lambda; q')|^2}{(q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b}.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \frac{|\rho^{(1),\varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) - \xi^{(1)}(\lambda; p)|^2}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b} \leq \varepsilon^{2b} C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \\ & + \frac{C}{\lambda} \left(\sup_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \frac{|\rho^{(2),\varepsilon}(\lambda; -p - q, p) - \xi^{(2)}(\lambda; p)|^2}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b} + \sup_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \frac{|\rho^{(3),\varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) - \xi^{(3)}(\lambda; p)|^2}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Two similar bounds with $|\rho^{(2),\varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) - \xi^{(2)}(\lambda; p)|$ and $|\rho^{(3),\varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) - \xi^{(3)}(\lambda; p)|$ at the l.h.s. are obtained by permutation of the indices.

The estimate (4.15) is not sufficient to close the proof since it involves also terms containing the function $\rho^{(\ell),\varepsilon}(\lambda; -p - q, p)$. To obtain bounds on those terms, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\rho^{(1),\varepsilon}(\lambda; -q - p, p) - \xi^{(1)}(\lambda; p)|^2 \leq \\ & \leq C \left[\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \frac{t_{23}^\varepsilon(\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2\mu_1}; q + \frac{m_3}{m_2+m_3}p, -q' - \frac{m_2}{m_2+m_3}p) - \tau_{23}(\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2\mu_1})}{\frac{q'^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{q' \cdot p}{m_3} + \frac{p^2}{2m_{31}} + \lambda} f(q', p) \right|^2 \right. \\ & + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \frac{t_{23}^\varepsilon(\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2\mu_1}; q + \frac{m_3}{m_2+m_3}p, -q' - \frac{m_2}{m_2+m_3}p) - \tau_{23}(\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2\mu_1})}{\frac{q'^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{q' \cdot p}{m_3} + \frac{p^2}{2m_{31}} + \lambda} \xi^{(2)}(\lambda; q') \right|^2 \\ & + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \frac{t_{23}^\varepsilon(\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2\mu_1}; q + \frac{m_3}{m_2+m_3}p, q' + \frac{m_3}{m_2+m_3}p) - \tau_{23}(\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2\mu_1})}{\frac{q'^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{q' \cdot p}{m_2} + \frac{p^2}{2m_{12}} + \lambda} \xi^{(3)}(\lambda; q') \right|^2 \\ & + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \frac{t_{23}^\varepsilon(\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2\mu_1}; q + \frac{m_3}{m_2+m_3}p, -q' - \frac{m_2}{m_2+m_3}p)}{\frac{q'^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{q' \cdot p}{m_3} + \frac{p^2}{2m_{31}} + \lambda} (\rho^{(2),\varepsilon}(\lambda; -p - q', q') - \xi^{(2)}(\lambda; q')) \right|^2 \\ & \left. + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \frac{t_{23}^\varepsilon(\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2\mu_1}; q + \frac{m_3}{m_2+m_3}p, q' + \frac{m_3}{m_2+m_3}p)}{\frac{q'^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{q' \cdot p}{m_2} + \frac{p^2}{2m_{12}} + \lambda} (\rho^{(3),\varepsilon}(\lambda; p, q') - \xi^{(3)}(\lambda; q')) \right|^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Repeating the same steps used from Eq. (4.9) to Eq. (4.15), one can see that the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \frac{|\rho^{(1),\varepsilon}(\lambda; -q - p, p) - \xi^{(1)}(\lambda; p)|^2}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b} \leq \varepsilon^{2b} C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 + \\ & + \frac{C}{\lambda} \left(\sup_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \frac{|\rho^{(2),\varepsilon}(\lambda; -p - q, p) - \xi^{(2)}(\lambda; p)|^2}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b} + \sup_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \frac{|\rho^{(3),\varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) - \xi^{(3)}(\lambda; p)|^2}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

holds true, and similar ones are obtained by permutation of the indices.

Summing up over permutations of indices the estimates (4.15) and (4.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^3 \left(\sup_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \frac{|\rho^{(j),\varepsilon}(\lambda; -q-p, p) - \xi^{(j)}(\lambda; p)|^2}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b} + \sup_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \frac{|\rho^{(j),\varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) - \xi^{(j)}(\lambda; p)|^2}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b} \right) \\ & \leq \varepsilon^{2b} C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \\ & + \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^3 \left(\sup_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \frac{|\rho^{(j),\varepsilon}(\lambda; -q-p, p) - \xi^{(j)}(\lambda; p)|^2}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b} + \sup_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \frac{|\rho^{(j),\varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) - \xi^{(j)}(\lambda; p)|^2}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For λ sufficiently large, the latter inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^3 \left(\sup_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \frac{|\rho^{(j),\varepsilon}(\lambda; -q-p, p) - \xi^{(j)}(\lambda; p)|^2}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b} + \sup_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \frac{|\rho^{(j),\varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) - \xi^{(j)}(\lambda; p)|^2}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b} \right) \\ & \leq \varepsilon^{2b} C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from Bounds (4.7) and (4.8), it follows that

$$\|(\hat{\mathbf{R}}^\varepsilon(\lambda) - \hat{\mathbf{R}}(\lambda))f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \leq \varepsilon^{2b} C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2,$$

and the proof is concluded. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge the support of the GNFM Gruppo Nazionale per la Fisica Matematica—INdAM. G.B., C.C., and D.F. acknowledge the support of the project “Progetto Giovani GNFM 2016.”

APPENDIX A: FADDEEV’S EQUATIONS

For the convenience of the reader, in this section, we shortly recall the derivation of Faddeev’s equations; for more details, we refer to Faddeev’s book.⁸

1. Resolvent formulae and Faddeev equations

We look for an equation for the resolvent of Hamiltonian (1.1). We start with the resolvent identity and write

$$\mathbf{R}^\varepsilon(\lambda) = (\mathbf{H}^\varepsilon + \lambda)^{-1} = (\mathbf{H}_0 + \sum_{\sigma} \mathbf{V}_{\sigma}^\varepsilon + \lambda)^{-1} = \mathbf{R}_0(\lambda) + \sum_{\ell} \mathbf{R}^{(\ell),\varepsilon}(\lambda), \quad (\text{A1})$$

where $\mathbf{R}_0(\lambda) = (\mathbf{H}_0 + \lambda)^{-1}$ is the resolvent of the free Hamiltonian \mathbf{H}_0 , and

$$\mathbf{R}^{(\ell),\varepsilon}(\lambda) := -\mathbf{R}_0(\lambda) \mathbf{V}_{\gamma}^\varepsilon \mathbf{R}^\varepsilon(\lambda). \quad (\text{A2})$$

On the other hand, again by the resolvent identity, one has

$$\mathbf{R}^\varepsilon(\lambda) = \mathbf{R}_{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\lambda) - \mathbf{R}_{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\lambda) \sum_{\sigma \neq \gamma} \mathbf{V}_{\sigma}^\varepsilon \mathbf{R}^\varepsilon(\lambda), \quad (\text{A3})$$

where $\mathbf{R}_{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\lambda) := (\mathbf{H}_0 + \mathbf{V}_{\gamma}^\varepsilon + \lambda)^{-1}$. Note that the Hamiltonian $\mathbf{H}_{\gamma}^\varepsilon := \mathbf{H}_0 + \mathbf{V}_{\gamma}^\varepsilon$ in the coordinates (x_{γ}, y_{ℓ}) is factorized because $\mathbf{V}_{\gamma}^\varepsilon = \mathbf{V}_{\gamma}^\varepsilon(x_{\gamma})$. Plugging Eq. (A3) in Eq. (A2), one ends up with

$$\mathbf{R}^{(\ell),\varepsilon}(\lambda) = -\mathbf{R}_0(\lambda) \mathbf{V}_{\gamma}^\varepsilon \mathbf{R}_{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\lambda) + \mathbf{R}_0(\lambda) \mathbf{V}_{\gamma}^\varepsilon \mathbf{R}_{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\lambda) \sum_{\sigma \neq \gamma} \mathbf{V}_{\sigma}^\varepsilon \mathbf{R}^\varepsilon(\lambda). \quad (\text{A4})$$

Next we define the operator

$$\mathbf{T}_{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\lambda) := \mathbf{V}_{\gamma}^\varepsilon - \mathbf{V}_{\gamma}^\varepsilon \mathbf{R}_{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\lambda) \mathbf{V}_{\gamma}^\varepsilon$$

and note the identity

$$\mathbf{R}_0(\lambda)\mathbf{V}_\gamma^\varepsilon\mathbf{R}_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda) = \mathbf{R}_0(\lambda)\mathbf{T}_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda)\mathbf{R}_0(\lambda), \tag{A5}$$

which is a direct consequence of the resolvent identity $\mathbf{R}_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda) = \mathbf{R}_0(\lambda) - \mathbf{R}_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda)\mathbf{V}_\gamma^\varepsilon\mathbf{R}_0(\lambda)$. By using Eq. (A5) in Eq. (A4), we get

$$\mathbf{R}^{(\ell),\varepsilon}(\lambda) = -\mathbf{R}_0(\lambda)\mathbf{T}_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda)\mathbf{R}_0(\lambda) - \mathbf{R}_0(\lambda)\mathbf{T}_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda) \sum_{m \neq \ell} \mathbf{R}^{(m),\varepsilon}(\lambda). \tag{A6}$$

By Eqs. (A1) and (A6), we conclude that for any function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ one has

$$\mathbf{R}^\varepsilon(\lambda)f = \mathbf{R}_0(\lambda)f + \sum_m g^{(m),\varepsilon}(\lambda) \quad \text{with} \quad g^{(\ell),\varepsilon}(\lambda) = \mathbf{R}^{(\ell),\varepsilon}(\lambda)f, \tag{A7}$$

where the functions $g^{(\ell),\varepsilon}(\lambda)$ must solve the system of equations

$$g^{(\ell),\varepsilon}(\lambda) = -\mathbf{R}_0(\lambda)\mathbf{T}_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda)\mathbf{R}_0(\lambda)f - \mathbf{R}_0(\lambda)\mathbf{T}_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda) \sum_{m \neq \ell} g^{(m),\varepsilon}(\lambda). \tag{A8}$$

The system (A8) expresses a form of Faddeev’s equations.⁷ In our analysis, we shall write Faddeev’s equations (in Fourier transform) for the functions

$$\rho^{(\ell),\varepsilon}(\lambda) := (\hat{\mathbf{H}}_0 + \lambda)\hat{g}^{(\ell),\varepsilon}(\lambda). \tag{A9}$$

By Eqs. (A7) and (A8), it is easy to convince oneself that the resolvent $\hat{\mathbf{R}}^\varepsilon(\lambda)$ can be written as in Eq. (2.10) and that the functions $\rho^{(\ell),\varepsilon}(\lambda)$ must satisfy the system of equations obtained by Eq. (2.11) through permutation of the indices.

2. Reduced operators in terms of one-particle operators

In this section, we derive a formula for the operators $\mathbf{T}_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda)$ in terms of one-particle operators. This formula allows us to write the action of the operator $\mathbf{T}_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda)$ as in Eq. (2.9) and to obtain Eq. (2.12).

We denote by lower case letters one-particle operators, i.e., operators acting on the space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. In particular, we shall use the notations

$$\mathbf{h}_0^{(\ell)} := -\frac{1}{2\mu_\ell} \Delta_{y_\ell}, \quad \mathbf{h}_0^{(\ell)} : L^2(\mathbb{R}, dy_\ell) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}, dy_\ell),$$

$$\mathbf{h}_{0,\gamma} := -\frac{1}{2m_\gamma} \Delta_{x_\gamma}, \quad \mathbf{h}_{0,\gamma} : L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx_\gamma) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx_\gamma),$$

$$\mathbf{r}_{0,\gamma}(\lambda) := (\mathbf{h}_{0,\gamma} + \lambda)^{-1},$$

$$\mathbf{h}_\gamma^\varepsilon := \mathbf{h}_{0,\gamma} + \mathbf{v}_\gamma^\varepsilon, \quad \mathbf{h}_\gamma : L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx_\gamma) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx_\gamma),$$

$\mathbf{r}_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda) := (\mathbf{h}_\gamma^\varepsilon + \lambda)^{-1}$, where $\mathbf{v}_\gamma^\varepsilon$ is the two particle potential understood as a multiplication operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx_\gamma)$.

In particular, we shall be interested in the one particle operator defined by the identity

$$\mathbf{t}_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda) := \mathbf{v}_\gamma^\varepsilon - \mathbf{v}_\gamma^\varepsilon \mathbf{r}_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda) \mathbf{v}_\gamma^\varepsilon, \quad \mathbf{t}_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda) : L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx_\gamma) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx_\gamma).$$

We note that, by the resolvent identity $\mathbf{r}_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda) = \mathbf{r}_{0,\gamma}(\lambda) - \mathbf{r}_{0,\gamma}(\lambda)\mathbf{v}_\gamma^\varepsilon\mathbf{r}_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda)$, one infers that the operator $\mathbf{t}(\lambda)$ satisfies the equation

$$\mathbf{t}_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda) = \mathbf{v}_\gamma^\varepsilon - \mathbf{v}_\gamma^\varepsilon \mathbf{r}_{0,\gamma}(\lambda) \mathbf{t}_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda). \tag{A10}$$

Recalling that the Hamiltonian $\mathbf{H}_\gamma^\varepsilon$ is factorized in the coordinates (x_γ, y_ℓ) , one has that $\mathbf{R}_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda)$ can be formally written as

$$\mathbf{R}_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda) = \mathbf{r}_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda + \mathbf{h}_0^{(\ell)}), \quad \mathbf{R}_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda) : L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, dx_\gamma dy_\ell) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, dx_\gamma dy_\ell).$$

Similarly

$$\mathbf{T}_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda) := \mathbf{V}_\gamma^\varepsilon - \mathbf{V}_\gamma^\varepsilon \mathbf{r}_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda + \mathbf{h}_0^{(\ell)}) \mathbf{V}_\gamma^\varepsilon = \mathbf{t}_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda + \mathbf{h}_0^{(\ell)}) : L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, dx_\gamma dy_\ell) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, dx_\gamma dy_\ell). \tag{A11}$$

Identity (A11) can be understood in Fourier transform; see Eqs. (2.7)–(2.9).

APPENDIX B: SOME USEFUL EXPLICIT FORMULAE

In this section, we collect several useful formulae, in particular, for the operators appearing in Sec. III. For the sake of concreteness, we write the formulae in the coordinates (x_{23}, y_1) , and their conjugates (k_{23}, p_1) , or in the coordinates (p_2, p_1) . Additional formulae are obtained by permutation of the indices or by change of variables.

We remark that the Fourier transform is defined so as to be unitary in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Explicitly, the Fourier transform in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is denoted by $\hat{\cdot}$ and defined as

$$\hat{f}(k) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ikx} f(x) dx.$$

The inverse Fourier transform is given by

$$\check{f}(x) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{ikx} f(k) dk.$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{(f * g)}(k) &= (2\pi)^{d/2} \hat{f}(k) \hat{g}(k), \\ \widehat{(fg)}(k) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} (\hat{f} * \hat{g})(k), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(f, g)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = (\hat{f}, \hat{g})_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

We start by noticing that the Fourier transform of the operator \check{G}_{23} , see Eq. (3.6), is given by

$$\widehat{\check{G}_{23}(\lambda)} \hat{f}(p_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dk_{23} \frac{1}{\frac{k_{23}^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{p_1^2}{2\mu_1} + \lambda} \hat{f}(k_{23}, p_1). \tag{B1}$$

Hence,

$$\check{G}_{23}(\lambda) f(y_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp_1 e^{iy_1 p_1} \widehat{\check{G}_{23}(\lambda)} \hat{f}(p_1).$$

By taking the adjoint of $\check{G}_{23}(\lambda)$, it is easy to convince oneself that in Fourier transform the operator $G_{23}(\lambda)$ acts as the multiplication operator

$$\hat{G}_{23}(\lambda) \hat{q}(k_{23}, p_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\frac{k_{23}^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{p_1^2}{2\mu_1} + \lambda} \hat{q}(p_1). \tag{B2}$$

Hence,

$$G_{23}(\lambda) q(x_{23}, y_1) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dk_{23} dp_1 e^{ix_{23} k_{23} + iy_1 p_1} \hat{G}_{23}(\lambda) \hat{q}(k_{23}, p_1) \tag{B3}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp_1 e^{iy_1 p_1} \sqrt{\frac{2m_{23}}{\frac{p_1^2}{2\mu_1} + \lambda}} e^{-|x_{23}| \sqrt{2m_{23} \left(\frac{p_1^2}{2\mu_1} + \lambda \right)}} \hat{q}(p_1), \tag{B4}$$

where the latter identity was obtained by integrating over k_{23} .

Noticing that $M_{23,23}(\lambda) q(y_1) = G_{23}(\lambda) q(0, y_1)$ and taking into account Eq. (B4), one infers that in Fourier transform $M_{23,23}(\lambda)$ acts as the multiplication operator

$$\hat{M}_{23,23}(\lambda) \hat{q}(p_1) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2m_{23}}{\frac{p_1^2}{2\mu_1} + \lambda}} \hat{q}(p_1), \tag{B5}$$

and $M_{23,23}(\lambda) q(y_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp_1 e^{iy_1 p_1} \hat{M}_{23,23}(\lambda) \hat{q}(p_1)$.

To obtain the expression of $M_{23,12}(\lambda)$ in Fourier transform, recall that, by changing the indices in Eqs. (B2) and (B3), one has

$$G_{12}(\lambda) q(x_{12}, y_3) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dk_{12} dp_3 e^{ix_{12} k_{12} + iy_3 p_3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\frac{k_{12}^2}{2m_{12}} + \frac{p_3^2}{2\mu_3} + \lambda} \hat{q}(p_3).$$

In the Jacobi coordinates (x_{23}, y_1) [and the corresponding conjugate set (k_{23}, p_1)], one has that $(x_{12}k_{12} + y_3p_3)|_{\pi_{23}} = y_1p_1$. Since $M_{23,12}(\lambda)q(y_1) = G_{12}(\lambda)q|_{\pi_{23}}(y_1)$ and by the change of variables $(k_{12}, p_3) \rightarrow (k_{23}, p_1)$ in the integral above, one obtains

$$M_{23,12}(\lambda)q(y_1) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dk_{23} dp_1 e^{iy_1p_1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\frac{k_{23}^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{p_1^2}{2\mu_1} + \lambda} \hat{q}(p_3(k_{23}, p_1)),$$

and note that p_3 in the function \hat{q} must be understood as a function of the variables (k_{23}, p_1) , as in Eq. (2.4). By the change of variables $(k_{23}, p_1) \rightarrow (p_3, p_1)$, it follows that

$$M_{23,12}(\lambda)q(y_1) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dp_3 dp_1 e^{iy_1p_1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\frac{p_3^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{p_3 \cdot p_1}{m_2} + \frac{p_1^2}{2m_{12}} + \lambda} \hat{q}(p_3),$$

and hence in Fourier transform, $M_{23,12}(\lambda)$ acts as

$$\hat{M}_{23,12}(\lambda)\hat{q}(p_1) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp_3 \frac{1}{\frac{p_3^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{p_3 \cdot p_1}{m_2} + \frac{p_1^2}{2m_{12}} + \lambda} \hat{q}(p_3). \quad (\text{B6})$$

In a similar way, one obtains

$$\hat{M}_{23,31}(\lambda)\hat{q}(p_1) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp_2 \frac{1}{\frac{p_2^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{p_2 \cdot p_1}{m_3} + \frac{p_1^2}{2m_{31}} + \lambda} \hat{q}(p_2). \quad (\text{B7})$$

We conclude this section by noting that in the coordinates (p_2, p_1) the operators $\widehat{\check{G}}_{23}(\lambda)$ and $\hat{G}_{23}(\lambda)$, see Eqs. (B1) and (B2), are given by

$$\widehat{\check{G}}_{23}(\lambda)\hat{f}(p_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp_2 \frac{1}{\frac{p_2^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{p_2 \cdot p_1}{m_3} + \frac{p_1^2}{2m_{13}} + \lambda} \hat{f}(p_2, p_1)$$

and

$$\hat{G}_{23}(\lambda)\hat{q}(p_2, p_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\frac{p_2^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{p_2 \cdot p_1}{m_3} + \frac{p_1^2}{2m_{13}} + \lambda} \hat{q}(p_1), \quad (\text{B8})$$

where with a slight abuse of notation we used the same symbol to denote the function \hat{f} in both coordinates (k_{23}, p_1) and (p_2, p_1) .

Similar identities are obtained by changes of variables and permutations of the indices.

- ¹ Albeverio, S. and Kurasov, P., *Singular Perturbations of Differential Operators: Solvable Schrödinger Type Operators*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 271 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).
- ² Albeverio, S., Gesztesy, F., Høegh-Krohn, R., and Holden, H., *Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics*, 2nd ed. (AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2005), With an appendix by Pavel Exner.
- ³ Brasche, J., Exner, P., Kuperin, Y. A., and Šeba, P., “Schrödinger operators with singular interactions,” *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **184**(1), 112–139 (1994).
- ⁴ Buslaev, V. S., Merkur’ev, S. P., and Salikov, S. P., “Description of pair potentials for which the scattering in the quantum system of three one dimensional particles is free of diffraction effects,” *J. Sov. Math.* **21**, 260–265 (1983) [Translated from *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)* **84**, 16–22 (1979)].
- ⁵ Davies, E. B., *Spectral Theory and Differential Operators* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995).
- ⁶ Dell’Antonio, G., “N-body problem with contact interactions,” e-print [arXiv:1711.10200](https://arxiv.org/abs/1711.10200) [math-ph] (2017).
- ⁷ Faddeev, L. D., “Scattering theory for a three particle system,” *Sov. Phys. JETP* **12**(5), 1014–1019 (1961).
- ⁸ Faddeev, L. D., *Mathematical Aspects of the Three-Body Problem in the Quantum Scattering Theory* (Israel Program for Scientific Translations, Jerusalem, 1965) [Translated from “Matematicheskie voprosy kvantovoi teorii rasseyaniya dlya sistemy trekh chastits,” in *Trudy Matematicheskogo Instituta Imeni V. A. Steklova* (Daniel Davey & Co., Inc., USA, 1963)].
- ⁹ Lieb, E. H. and Liniger, W., “Simplified approach to the ground-state energy of an imperfect Bose gas. III. Application to the one-dimensional model,” *Phys. Rev.* **134**(2A), A312–A315 (1969).
- ¹⁰ Michelangeli, A. and Ottoloni, A., “On point interactions realised as Ter-Martirosyan–Skornyakov Hamiltonians,” *Rep. Math. Phys.* **79**(2), 215–260 (2017).
- ¹¹ Reed, M. and Simon, B., *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics. II. Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness* (Academic Press, New York-London, 1975).